



This copy is for your personal, noncommercial use only. You can order presentation-ready copies for distribution to your

colleagues, clients or customers [here](#) or use the "Reprints" tool that appears next to any article. Visit www.nytreprints.com for

samples and additional information. [Order a reprint of this article now.](#)

July 20, 2011

Wheeling and Dealing

By [BILL VLASIC](#) and [NICK BUNKLEY](#)

DETROIT — American carmakers and the [United Automobile Workers](#) union open contract talks next week with a common task of preserving the comeback of an industry that was on the verge of collapse just two years ago.

But their cooperation will be put to the test as the sides square off over how to divide the profits of Detroit's unexpectedly swift revival.

After a period of plunging sales, bankruptcies and government bailouts, the union is hoping to regain some of its lost jobs, reopen closed factories, and increase the pay of its 111,000 members, some of whom are being paid half as much for entry-level jobs as other workers under a two-tier wage arrangement.

But those goals run against the priorities of Detroit's Big Three automakers, who want to hold the line on costs and further close the gap in productivity with foreign-owned factories in the United States, which employ much cheaper nonunion workers.

And while contract negotiations are always prickly, this round has a particularly prominent backdrop: the long shadow of the Obama administration, which bailed out both [General Motors](#) and [Chrysler](#) and shepherded the automakers through Chapter 11.

As part of the bailouts, the U.A.W. agreed to no-strike clauses at both companies and to submit to arbitration in the event that a contract could not be reached.

That leaves Ford, the most successful of the three, as the only possible strike target should the talks fall apart. But the U.A.W. benefited greatly from the federal intervention, and Ford has been hailed by consumers for surviving the **recession** without financial help from taxpayers.

For the union to strike Ford or enter a contentious arbitration process could reignite debate over the bailouts and prove politically embarrassing to President Obama as he readies next year's re-election campaign.

Bob King, the union's president, said in an interview that he was "morally and legally" bound to get the best deal possible for his membership, regardless of the political consequences. "But if we end up with a strike or arbitration," he acknowledged, "I'd feel like I failed in many ways."

The union's four-year contracts with G.M., Ford and Chrysler expire in mid-September. Indications are that the U.A.W. will be aggressively seeking better profit-sharing, job guarantees, and wage increases for lower-paid, entry-level workers.

"Our members have sacrificed a lot," Mr. King said. "We're trying to figure out a path that gives members more income but doesn't disadvantage the companies."

All three automakers are making money and expanding sales. But they are loath to do anything that hurts their newfound competitiveness or adds costs to their streamlined manufacturing operations. The Big Three earned nearly \$6 billion in combined profits during the first quarter of this year, and paid sizable profit-sharing checks this spring based on their 2010 results.

"We all know that there are things we can't do to go back to how we were," said Cathy Clegg, head of G. M. labor relations, during an appearance Monday at a truck plant in Flint, Mich. "We need to see a pretty healthy market recovery before we start turning factories back on."

All three companies have drastically cut production and jobs in recent years to better match their smaller market shares. The U.A.W. currently has less than half the number of employees at G.M., Ford and Chrysler than just five years ago.

The pain of losing so many jobs is still fresh in the minds of the surviving workers, said Mr. King, who was elected president last year after previously running the U.A.W.'s Ford division.

“They want stability,” he said. “They want to know they’ll be working next week and next year, and that they will be able to send their kids to college.”

But while preserving jobs is paramount, Mr. King said that workers deserved a bigger share of the economic benefits of Detroit’s turnaround.

While Mr. King does not expect across-the-board wage increases, he said the automakers should improve their profit-sharing formulas, something some auto executives have indicated a willingness to consider. He added that new entry-level workers, who are paid about \$15 an hour compared with \$28 for regular U.A.W. members, deserve pay increases in the new contract.

“I don’t think you should be working in the auto industry at poverty-level wages when the companies are doing well,” he said.

In the last negotiations in 2007, the companies successfully removed retiree health care costs from their balance sheets by financing union-run trusts. However, in these talks Mr. King vowed to fight any efforts by the automakers to trim the medical coverage and other benefits of active workers.

“There is no justification for any concessions in this round of bargaining,” he said. “That is just not going to happen.”

Detroit will be looking for ways to shrink the cost gap between U.A.W. workers and nonunion employees of American plants owned by Toyota, Hyundai and other foreign car companies.

The disparity is less than in previous years. In 2010, the average hourly labor cost for a union worker ranged from \$58 at Ford to \$49 at Chrysler. That compares with about \$55 at Toyota and \$44 at Hyundai, according to the Center for Automotive Research in Ann Arbor, Mich.

The automakers are not commenting publicly on how they expect to lower their costs. Industry experts say that the companies want more flexibility on work rules, job assignments and production schedules.

The companies will resist pressure from the union to reopen closed plants that do not have new products on the way.

“Right now the market won’t call for that,” said Art Schwartz, a former G.M. labor negotiator who is now president of the firm Labor and Economics Associates. “They are not going to open a plant up and lose money.”

The no-strike clauses and arbitration requirements at G.M. and Chrysler could complicate the negotiations. Ford could be vulnerable because it could agree to a deal with the U.A.W. that might not stand up to arbitration at one of the other companies.

The tough times in recent years do appear to have produced an ongoing constructive dialogue between the companies and their union. Mr. King and company executives speak proudly of their regular meetings to discuss topics like vehicle quality and broader issues like new fuel economy regulations.

“It’s more a problem-solving relationship rather than who is right and who is wrong,” he said. “You know, we’re not out of the woods yet.”

And although the automotive market has rebounded somewhat and Detroit is earning profits again, both the union and the car companies are painfully aware of how fragile their recovery is. “This is not the time to get greedy on either side,” Mr. Schwartz said.



OPEN

More in Business Day (1 of 21 articles)

With Washington at Impasse, Worry Over Investor Reaction

[Read More »](#)